
# **Curly-leaf Pondweed** (*Potamogeton crispus*) Fall Turion Survey

East Balsam Lake - WBIC: 2620600

Polk County, Wisconsin





East Balsam fall shoreline (10/15/23)

2023 fall turion density - East Balsam

## **Project Initiated by:**

Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources – Grant ACEI21218





Sieve with turions (Berg 2013)

# Survey Conducted by and Report Prepared by:

Endangered Resource Services, LLC Matthew S. Berg, Research Biologist St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin October 15, 2023

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND AND STUDY RATIONALE	1
CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES	2
METHODS.	3
DATA ANALYSIS	5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.	6
2014 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	6
2015 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	7
2016 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	9
2017 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	11
2018 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	13
2019 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	15
2020 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	17
2021 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	19
2022 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	21
2023 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	23
Considerations for Future Management	25
LITERATURE CITED.	26
APPENDIXES	27
I: Survey Sample Points and Historic CLP Treatment Areas	27
II: 2014-2023 Fall Curly-leaf Pondweed Turion Density & Distribution Maps	30

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: East Balsam Lake with Potential CLP Treatment Areas	1
Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion.	2
Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historic Treatment Areas	3
Figure 4: East Balsam Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving	4
Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density	5
Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	6
Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	7
Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	9
Figure 9: 2017 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	11
Figure 10: 2018 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	13
Figure 11: 2019 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	15
Figure 12: 2020 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	17
Figure 13: 2021 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	19
Figure 14: 2022 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	21
Figure 15: 2023 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	23
Figure 16: Total Live Turions Found – Fall 2014-2023.	25

# LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015	8
Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics - East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin - October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 29-30, 2016	10
Table 3: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 29-30, 2016 and October 28-29, 2017	12
Table 4: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 28-29, 2017 and October 26-27, 2018	14
Table 5: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 26-27, 2018 and October 26-27, 2019	16
Table 6: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 26-27, 2019 and November 14, 2020	. 18
Table 7: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – November 14, 2020 and October 23, 2021	20
Table 8: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 23, 2021 and October 20 and 23, 2022	22
Table 9: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake – Polk County, Wisconsin – October 20 and 23, 2022 and October 15, 2023	24

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Balsam Lake (WBIC 2620600) is a 2,054-acre stratified drainage lake in central Polk County, Wisconsin in the Towns of Balsam Lake, Milltown, Georgetown, and Apple River (T34N R17W). It reaches a maximum depth of 37ft north of Cedar Island in the western basin and has an average depth of 20ft (Hopke et al. 1964). The lake is mesotrophic bordering on eutrophic in nature, and water clarity is fair with summer Secchi readings over the last 10 years averaging 3.5ft in East Balsam, 6.1ft in Little Balsam, and 10.2ft in the deep hole north of Cedar Island (WDNR 2023). The lake's bottom substrate is variable with organic muck in most bays, and rock/sand in the Big and Little Narrows and around the lake's many islands.



Figure 1: East Balsam Lake with Potential CLP Treatment Areas

#### **BACKGROUND AND STUDY RATIONALE:**

Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) (CLP) is an invasive exotic plant that is common to abundant in parts of Balsam Lake. In their 2010 and 2015 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) approved Aquatic Plant Management Plans (APMP), the Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District's (BLPRD) identified a) reducing overall lake coverage of CLP to <20 acres and b) relieving navigation impairment caused by canopied CLP beds as management goals (Clemens 2010, Clemens 2015). As part of their continuing efforts to meet these goals, from May 2014-2023, the BLPRD and the WDNR annually authorized the herbicide treatment of up to five CLP beds in East Balsam Lake (Figure 1). These areas were selected based on the 2013 spring CLP bed mapping survey that found they were the largest areas of CLP on the lake, and because they were interfering with boat traffic and/or restricting resident access to the lake from their docks.

The chemical treatments from 2014-2017 significantly reduced CLP levels and residual turions in East Balsam. Following late ice-out and rapid increases in water temperatures in 2018 and near record snowfall in 2019, we documented low CLP levels in our pretreatment surveys. Because of this, it was decided to cancel all chemical treatments during each of these years and focus on harvesting. After the fall 2019 turion survey showed a significant increase in turions over the previous two years and the 2020 pretreatment survey predicted many areas would have significant growth, the BLPRD decided to treat 15.60 acres in the spring of 2020. This produced localized declines in turions, and treatment in 2021 was again cancelled. Following another uptick in turion levels in fall 2021 and moderate CLP levels during the 2022 pretreatment survey, it was decided to treat 30.70 acres in 2022. This again lowered CLP levels to the point that the BLPRD decided to cancel treatment in the spring of 2023.

#### **CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES:**

Although Curly-leaf pondweed occasionally reproduces by seed, the vast majority of plants resprout from stiff overwintering buds called turions that are normally produced in number by the plants prior to their late June/early July senescence (Figure 2). After the pinecone-like turions germinate in late fall or early winter, plants continue to grow slowly under the ice. Following ice out, growth accelerates, and plants rapidly canopy allowing them a competitive advantage over slower growing native species (Capers 2005).



**Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion** 

Research suggests approximately 50% of turions germinate in a growing season while the rest remain dormant until the following growing season when another 50% will germinate (Johnson 2012). Depending on the level of turions at a given location and knowing that latent turions may be able to survive for over 5 years in the sediment, it may take several years of control to exhaust the "turion bank" (R. Newman – U of M unpublished data).

Following the 2023 summer growing season, we conducted a fall turion survey. The goals of the study were to determine the level of remaining CLP turions within East Balsam's historic high density CLP areas; and, if there were any present, to predict whether their numbers suggested there would likely be enough to cause navigation issues in 2024. This report is the summary analysis of that survey conducted on October 15, 2023.

#### **METHODS:**

## **Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:**

After merging the 2014 treatment areas and the 2009 treatment of Bed 14C into a single shapefile, we used Hawth's Analysis Tools Extension to ArcGIS 9.3.1 to generate regular points at the rate of approximately 1.7 points/acre. This produced a 120-point sampling grid of which 18 were in Bed 12, 65 were in Bed 13, seven were in Bed 14, and the remaining 30 were in the combined area of Beds 14B and 14C. This same sampling grid was also used during each subsequent survey to allow for the most accurate comparisons possible (Figure 3) (Appendix I). For ease in determining the total impact of the current treatment program, we also left the 2014 – 2022 narratives in the results section of this report.




Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historic Treatment Areas

During the surveys, we located each point with a handheld mapping GPS unit (Garmin 76CSx) and used a Petite Ponar dredge with a  $0.0232m^2$  ( $36in^2$ ) sample area to take a bottom sediment grab from each side of the boat at each location. These samples were then rinsed in a fine sieve to separate out the sediment (Figure 4). Samples with high numbers of turions or significant amounts of detritus were bagged for later analysis; at which time we discarded all rotten turions, tallied all live turions, and multiplied the combined total live turions from the two samples by 21.53 to estimate turions/m² at each location. This value gives an idea of how many CLP plants will germinate in an area during the following growing season.



Figure 4: East Balsam Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving

#### **DATA ANALYSIS:**

We entered all data collected into an Excel spreadsheet and used standard formulas in the data analysis tool pack to calculate the following:

<u>Total number of points sampled:</u> This value is the total number of points on the lake within each study area. We took two Ponar samples at each sample point.

<u>Total number of live turions:</u> This value includes all live turions found at all sites within a study area.

<u>Total number of points with live turions:</u> This number includes all survey sites that had at least one turion in **either** of the Ponar samples taken at the site.

**Frequency of occurrence:** The frequency of turions is generally reported as a percentage of occurrences at all sample points. The value is used to extrapolate coverage within the study area. For example, if 20% of all sample sites have turions, it suggests that 20% of the study area will have at least some Curly-leaf pondweed coverage the following year.

**Points at or above nuisance level:** This value gives the number of survey sites within the study area that were above the predicted nuisance threshold (Figure 5). Research suggests that when the turion density is at or above 200/m², the following year's CLP growth has the potential to at least moderately impair navigation (Johnson 2012).




Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density

**Percent nuisance level:** The percentage of nuisance points divided by the total number of survey points can be extrapolated to determine what percent of the study area has the potential to have at least moderate navigation impairment during the next growing season.

<u>Mean turions/m<sup>2</sup></u>: This value is the average number of turions/m<sup>2</sup> when pooling the data from all survey sites regardless of whether or not they had turions present.

**Standard deviation of turions/m<sup>2</sup>:** This value tells us how far apart the data is from the mean. A low standard deviation suggests most points have a turion density that was similar to the mean, while a high value suggests there was greater variability in turion density within the sample area.

#### **Year-over-Year Significant Differences:**

Data from the 2014-2023 surveys were compared using paired t-tests as we returned to the same sites during each survey. Year-over-year differences were determined to be significant at p<0.05, moderately significant at p<0.01, and highly significant at p<0.001 (Tables 1-9).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

## 2014 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey:

The November 8-9, 2014 survey revealed CLP turions were present throughout much of the 2014 treatment areas with 92 of 120 points having live turions (76.67%) (Figure 6) (Appendix II). Despite this, only six points (5.00%) suggested CLP growth in 2015 had the potential to exceed the nuisance threshold with densities >200 turions/m² (Table 1). When broken down by area, Bed 12 had the highest rate with over 22% of the bed projected to exceed this level. Bed 13 was the only other area with any nuisance points, and both of them were located at the very northern edge of the bed. All of the nuisance points were in areas with shallow water (<5ft) that historically have also had dense canopied CLP.

The overall mean turion density was 61.53 turions/m<sup>2</sup>. This value suggested that the average potential for impairment would be very low. Turion densities were somewhat variable with all standard deviation values being greater than the mean. However, only Bed 12 was more than 25% higher than the mean, and none were double the mean.




Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

During the October 31- November 1, 2015 survey, we found live CLP turions at 67 of 120 points (55.83%) (Figure 7) (Appendix II). This was a decline in distribution of 27.17% from the 92 points with turions in 2014. When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline in distribution except for Bed 14B/C which increased from 56.67% coverage in 2014 to 60.00% coverage in 2015 (Table 1).

The number of high density "predictive nuisance" locations also declined fractionally from six points (5.00%) in 2014 to five points (4.17%) in 2015. As in 2014, Bed 12 had the highest percentage of high density points (11.11%). Outside this area, no other bed had more that 3.33% (Bed 14B/C). The majority of the highest density turion points again occurred in areas with shallow water (<5ft) that historically have had dense canopied CLP growth in the spring as well as moderate levels later in the summer. These areas may be producing a "second crop" of plants that sprout from latent turions after the treatment and, consequently, are able to produce turions/maintain the bank at these locations.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 44.13 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m². This was a nearly significant decline from 2014 (*p*=0.057) when we found a mean of 61.53turions/m² with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m². Visual analysis of the 2014 and 2015 maps suggested the turion bank has been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft while shallow areas continue to have regular turions present. Densities continued to be variable with all standard deviations values being greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 14 (southeast bay) which showed a slight increase, all other areas declined; although none of these changes were significant.




Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015

2014 2015

Common Statistics	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total Be	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	343	127	142	7	67	246	69	111	10	56
Total number of points with live turions	92	17	54	4	17	67	14	32	3	18
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	76.67	94.44	83.08	57.14	56.67	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m <sup>2</sup> )	6	4	2	0	0	5	2	2	0	1
% Nuisance level	5.00	22.22	3.08	0.00	0.00	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33
Maximum turions/m <sup>2</sup>	1,012	1,012	388	65	194	431	409	431	172	258
Mean turions/m <sup>2</sup>	61.53	151.89	47.03	21.53	48.08	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19
Standard deviation/m <sup>2</sup>	114.47	249.58	58.70	24.86	58.39	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02
Standard error of the paired difference						0.51	2.70	0.47	1.23	0.58
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-1.59	-1.19	-1.01	0.34	-0.63
p - value						0.057	0.12	0.15	0.37	0.27

Significant differences = \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

During the October 29-30, 2016 survey, we found live CLP turions at 45 of 120 points (37.50%) (Figure 8) (Appendix II). This 32.84% decline in distribution from the 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015 and a further decline from the 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%) suggests the current treatment program has significantly reduced the "turion bank". When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline except for Bed 12 which remained at 77.78%. No other bed had coverage higher than 33.33% (Table 2).

The total number of high density "predictive nuisance" locations ticked back up to six points (5.00%) from five points in 2015 (4.17%) with all but one occurring in Bed 12. Although Bed 12 has always had the largest percentage of high density points (27.78% of the bed in 2016), the nearly significant increase in density (82.52/ $m^2$  in 2015 to 145.91/ $m^2$  in 2016 (p=0.057), and the more than doubling of the number of high density points from two (11.11% of bed) in 2015 to five in 2016 was unexpected based on the successful treatments in this area from 2014-2016.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 35.70 turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 85.86 turions/m<sup>2</sup>. This was a further decline (p=0.17) from the 44.13 turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m<sup>2</sup> in 2015, and the 61.53turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m<sup>2</sup> in 2014. Visual analysis of the 2016 map continues to show that the turion bank appears to have been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft, and many shallow areas now have only scattered turions present. Densities continue to be variable with all beds having standard deviations values that were greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 12 (southwest bay), all other area mean densities declined with Bed 14B/C showing a moderately significant decline (p=0.002), and Bed 13 (north bay) a nearly significant decline (p=0.06).




Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 29-30, 2016

2015 2016

G Gradini		Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total Bed		Bed	Bed	Bed	
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30	
Total live turions	246	69	111	10	56	199	122	61	2	14	
Total number of points with live turions	67	14	32	3	18	45	14	19	2	10	
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00	37.50	77.78	29.23	28.57	33.33	
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m <sup>2</sup> )	5	2	2	0	1	6	5	1	0	0	
% Nuisance level	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33	5.00	27.78	1.54	0.00	0.00	
Maximum turions/m <sup>2</sup>	431	409	431	172	258	560	560	323	22	65	
Mean turions/m <sup>2</sup>	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19	35.70	145.91	20.20	6.15	10.05	
Standard deviation/m <sup>2</sup>	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02	85.86	157.26	54.21	10.50	16.71	
Standard error of the paired difference						0.41	1.77	0.50	1.16	0.46	
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29	
t-statistic						-0.95	1.66	-1.54	-0.98	-3.07	
p - value						0.17	0.057	0.06	0.18	**0.002	

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

During the October 28-29, 2017 survey, we found live CLP turions at 59 of 120 points (49.17%) (Figure 9) (Appendix II). This 31.11% increase from 45 points with turions (37.50%) in 2016 was the first expansion in distribution since treatment began. However, it was still below the 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015 and 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%). When broken down by area, only Bed 12 (northeast of the Big Narrows) showed a decline in points with turions (Table 3).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 23.32 turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 33.33turions/m<sup>2</sup>. This was a nearly significant decline (p=0.06) from 35.70 turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 85.86 turions/m<sup>2</sup> in 2016. It was also a further decline (p=0.17) from the 44.13 turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m<sup>2</sup> in 2015, and the 61.53turions/m<sup>2</sup> with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m<sup>2</sup> in 2014. This means that, despite the uptick in distribution, the mean density was the lowest it has been since treatment started. We also noted that, for the first time ever, there were no high density "predictive nuisance" points in any of the beds.

Visual analysis of the 2017 map showed that most of the expansion in distribution occurred in deep water areas that were not treated in 2017 because they did not have any turions in fall 2016 and few CLP plants during the 2017 pretreatment survey. In the past, when these low density areas were trimmed from the treatment plan, they still appeared to have experienced residual control; however; that doesn't seem to have been the case in 2017. This may be because, for whatever reason, the 2017 treatment wasn't as effectively as in years past when plants immediately and completely disintegrated. Rather, CLP was only severely burned by the herbicide, and, based on several follow-up visits we did in June, took up to five weeks posttreatment to fall over/decompose. Fortunately, none of these "slow dying" CLP plants were ever found to have produced turions.




Figure 9: 2017 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 3: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 29-30, 2016 and October 28-29, 2017

2016 2017 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 14B/C 12 12 13 13 14 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 18 65 120 65 7 30 120 18 30 58 Total live turions 199 122 2 14 130 26 35 61 11 15 30 Total number of points with live turions 45 19 2 10 59 10 14 37.50 77.78 29.23 28.57 33.33 49.17 55.56 46.15 57.14 50.00 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m<sup>2</sup>) 5 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 5.00 27.78 1.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 % Nuisance level Maximum turions/m<sup>2</sup> 560 560 323 22 65 194 108 108 129 194 Mean turions/m<sup>2</sup> 10.05 35.70 145.91 20.20 6.15 23.32 31.10 19.21 33.83 25.12 Standard deviation/m<sup>2</sup> 34.02 85.86 157.26 54.21 10.50 16.71 33.33 27.21 46.27 41.19 Standard error of the paired difference 0.34 0.89 0.34 0.37 1.70 17 Degrees of freedom 119 64 29 -1.53 -3.13 -0.13 +2.08t-statistic +1.440.06 \*\*0.003 0.44 0.10 \*0.02 p - value

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

The October 26-27, 2018 survey found live CLP turions at just 36 of 120 points (30.0%) (Figure 10) (Appendix II). This was a 38.98% **decrease** in coverage from the 59 points (49.17%) we found turions at in 2017, and it represented the fewest points with turions since surveying began (45 points with turions (37.50%) in 2016; 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015; and 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%)). When broken down by area, every bed experienced a decline in turion distribution (Table 4).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 28.52 turions/m² with a very high standard deviation of 138.32 turions/m². This was a non-sign significant increase (p=0.33) from 23.32 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 33.33turions/m² in 2017, but it was still below all other previous years (35.70 turions/m² - SD 85.86 turions/m² in 2016; 44.13 turions/m² - SD 75.04 turions/m² in 2015; and 61.53turions/m² - SD 114.47 turions/m² in 2014). This means that, despite the decline in distribution, the average density jumped; however, this was almost entirely due to the high turion counts at a couple of points as evidenced by the standard deviation which was nearly four times the mean. These two points (one in Bed 13 and one in Bed 14) were also the only high density "predictive nuisance" points.

Visual analysis of the 2018 map showed that most turions were either found in very shallow water in areas that have historically produced two "crops" of plants with the second occurring after herbicide/mechanical harvesting, or they were in deep areas that were not treated in 2017 and likely beyond the reach of the harvester in 2018. This is likely the cause of the localized jump at two points in Bed 14 as our June follow-up survey found CLP in this area only grew a few feet before falling over and dying.




Figure 10: 2018 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 4: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 28-29, 2017 and October 26-27, 2018

2017 2018 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics: Total** Total 14B/C 12 12 13 13 14 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 18 18 65 65 30 120 30 120 Total live turions 130 26 58 11 35 159 24 42 75 18 30 15 Total number of points with live turions 59 10 36 20 49.17 55.56 46.15 | 57.14 50.00 30.00 27.78 30.77 42.86 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 26.67 Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m<sup>2</sup>) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.67 0.00 1.54 14.29 0.00 % Nuisance level Maximum turions/m<sup>2</sup> 194 108 108 129 194 1,464 172 301 1,464 151 Mean turions/m<sup>2</sup> 28.52 23.32 31.10 19.21 33.83 25.12 28.70 13.91 230.66 12.92 138.32 Standard deviation/m<sup>2</sup> 33.33 34.02 27.21 46.27 41.19 55.75 39.81 545.85 30.76 Standard error of the paired difference 0.36 0.56 0.57 0.28 8.84 Degrees of freedom 17 64 29 119 -0.20 -0.87 -1.56 t-statistic +0.43+1.030.33 0.42 0.19 0.17 0.07 p - value

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

On October 26-27, 2019, we found live CLP turions at 60 of 120 points (50.0%) (Figure 11) (Appendix II). This was a 66.67% **increase** in coverage from the 36 points (30.00%) with turions in 2018, and it was almost identical to the 59 points (49.17%) with turions in 2017. Although it was also above 2016 distribution levels (45 points/37.50% coverage), it was still below peak numbers in 2015 (67 points/55.83% coverage) and 2014 (92 points/76.67% coverage). When broken down by area, every bed experienced an increase in turion distribution (Table 5).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at  $39.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$  standard deviation of  $66.42 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . This was a non-sign significant increase (p=0.42) from 2018 when we found a mean of  $28.52 \text{ turions/m}^2$  and a very high standard deviation of  $138.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . However, when compared to  $2017 (23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 33.33 \text{turions/m}^2)$  it suggested there has been a moderately significant increase (p=0.003) since the cessation of chemical control. Similar to the changes noted with distribution, the density in 2019 was also above the 2016 mean density ( $35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2$ ), but below the peak years of 2015 ( $44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2$ ) and 2014 ( $61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in 2014}$ ).

Although the overall mean still predicts that the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues, there were seven points (5.83%) that had enough turions to suggest there would be at least moderate impairment. This was an increase from 2018 when only two points (1.67%) exceeded the "nuisance" threshold. Visual analysis of the 2018 and 2019 maps showed continuous, but low level expansion in the deep areas of the north bay (Bed 13). However, there were significant increases in the shallow mucky areas of Beds 12 and 14B. In the southeast bay (Bed 14), after having a sample with 68 turions during the 2018 survey, mean density declined sharply, but distribution ticked up.




Figure 11: 2019 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 5: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 26-27, 2018 and October 26-27, 2019

2010

2010

	2018							2019		
Cummany Statistics	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	159	24	42	75	18	220	73	69	11	67
Total number of points with live turions	36	5	20	3	8	60	13	25	4	18
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	30.00	27.78	30.77	42.86	26.67	50.00	72.22	38.46	57.14	60.00
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m <sup>2</sup> )	2	0	1	1	0	7	5	1	0	1
% Nuisance level	1.67	0.00	1.54	14.29	0.00	5.83	27.78	1.54	0.00	3.33
Maximum turions/m <sup>2</sup>	1,464	172	301	1,464	151	366	258	215	129	366
Mean turions/m <sup>2</sup>	28.52	28.70	13.91	230.66	12.92	39.47	87.31	22.85	33.83	48.08
Standard deviation/m <sup>2</sup>	138.32	55.75	39.81	545.85	30.76	66.42	98.37	43.54	47.91	75.15
Standard error of the paired difference						0.63	0.71	0.31	0.98	0.59
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						+0.80	+3.84	+1.35	-0.93	+2.77
p - value						0.21	***<0.001	0.09	0.20	**0.005

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

During our November 14, 2020 survey, we found live CLP turions at 61 of 120 points (50.83% coverage) (Figure 12) (Appendix II). This was almost identical to 2019 when there were 60 points (50.00%) with turions, but still up sharply from 36 points (30.00%) with turions in 2018. It was also almost identical to the 59 points (49.17%) with turions in 2017, but well above 2016 distribution levels (45 points/37.50% coverage). Despite not showing the hoped for decline following the 2020 treatment, it was still below peak numbers in 2015 (67 points/55.83% coverage) and 2014 (92 points/76.67% coverage) (Table 6).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at  $36.78 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$  standard deviation of  $63.11 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . This was a non-sign significant decline (p=0.40) from 2019 when we found a mean of  $39.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a standard deviation of } 66.42 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . However, it was still greater than the 2018 mean of  $28.52 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$   $138.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$ ; the 2017 mean of  $23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$   $33.33 \text{ turions/m}^2$ ; and the 2016 mean of  $35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$   $85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . Similar to total distribution, the 2020 mean density was also still below the peak years of 2015 ( $44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$   $75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2$ ) and 2014 ( $61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$   $114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in 2014}$ ). Broken out by area, our results found declines in mean density in Beds 12, 14, and 14 B/C; however, only the decline in 14 B/C was significant (p=0.01). Bed 13 actually showed a significant increase (p=0.01) – potentially due to the treatment covering only 2.0 acres in this area.

The overall mean suggests the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues. However, there were three points (2.50%) that had enough turions to suggest there would be at least moderate impairment. This was a decline from seven points in 2019 (5.83%) that exceeded the "nuisance" threshold. Visual analysis of the 2018-2020 maps showed continuous, but low level expansion in the center of the north bay (Bed 13) – especially near shore. Shallow mucky areas of Bed 12 also appear likely to have at least low impairment, but most of 14B/C should be relatively free of CLP. In the southeast bay (Bed 14), levels declined even without treatment – potentially in response to the expansion of high density beds of Northern water-milfoil (*Myriophyllum sibiricum*) in this area.




Figure 12: 2020 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 6: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 26-27, 2019 and November 14, 2020

2020

2010

	2019					2020				
Cummany Statistics	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	220	73	69	11	67	205	54	127	4	20
Total number of points with live turions	60	13	25	4	18	61	13	35	3	10
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	50.00	72.22	38.46	57.14	60.00	50.83	72.22	53.85	42.86	33.33
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m <sup>2</sup> )	7	5	1	0	1	3	1	2	0	0
% Nuisance level	5.83	27.78	1.54	0.00	3.33	2.50	5.56	3.08	0.00	0.00
Maximum turions/m <sup>2</sup>	366	258	215	129	366	409	409	323	43	108
Mean turions/m <sup>2</sup>	39.47	87.31	22.85	33.83	48.08	36.78	64.58	42.06	12.30	14.35
Standard deviation/m <sup>2</sup>	66.42	98.37	43.54	47.91	75.15	63.11	96.56	63.50	16.94	26.72
Standard error of the paired difference						0.32	0.91	0.37	0.98	0.67
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-0.40	-1.16	+2.38	-1.02	-2.32
p - value						0.40	0.13	*0.01	0.17	*0.01

Significant differences = \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

Our October 23, 2021 survey found live CLP turions at 56 of 120 points (46.67% coverage) (Figure 13) (Appendix II). Despite no herbicide treatment in 2021, this was down slightly from 61 points with turions in 2020 (50.83% coverage) and 60 points with turions in 2019 (50.00%) (Table 7).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at  $30.14 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$  standard deviation of  $46.57 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . This was a nearly significant decline (p=0.10) from 2020 when we found a mean of  $36.78 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a standard deviation of } 63.11 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . It was also down from 2019 when we found a mean of  $39.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$  standard deviation of  $66.42 \text{ turions/m}^2$ . Broken out by area, our results found nearly significant declines in mean density in Bed 12 (p=0.08), Bed 13 (p=0.06), and Bed 14 (p=0.09); but a nearly significant increase in Bed 14 B/C (p=0.07).

The overall mean suggests the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues in 2022, and no points were predicted to exceed the "nuisance" threshold. This was down from three nuisance points in 2020 (2.50%) and seven points in 2019 (5.83%). Visual analysis of the 2018-2021 maps showed continuous, but low-level expansion in the center of the north bay (Bed 13) – especially near shore. Shallow mucky areas of Bed 12 and the majority of 14B also appear likely to have at least low impairment, but most of 14C should be relatively free of CLP. In the southeast bay (Bed 14), CLP continues to be uncommon – potentially in response to the expansion of high-density beds of Northern water-milfoil in this area.




Figure 13: 2021 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 7: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin November 14, 2020 and October 23, 2021

2021

2020

	2020					2021					
Cummany Statistics	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30	
Total live turions	205	54	127	4	20	168	31	96	2	39	
Total number of points with live turions	61	13	35	3	10	56	8	33	2	13	
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	50.83	72.22	53.85	42.86	33.33	46.67	44.44	50.77	28.57	43.33	
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m <sup>2</sup> )	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% Nuisance level	2.50	5.56	3.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Maximum turions/m <sup>2</sup>	409	409	323	43	108	172	172	172	22	172	
Mean turions/m <sup>2</sup>	36.78	64.58	42.06	12.30	14.35	30.14	37.08	31.79	6.15	27.99	
Standard deviation/m <sup>2</sup>	63.11	96.56	63.50	16.94	26.72	46.57	59.90	44.23	10.50	47.69	
Standard error of the paired difference						0.24	0.89	0.30	0.18	0.63	
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29	
t-statistic						-1.30	-1.44	-1.60	-1.55	+1.53	
p - value						0.10	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.07	

Significant differences = \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

On October 20 and 23, 2022, we found live CLP turions at 42 or 120 points (35.0% coverage) (Figure 14) (Appendix II). As expected with a sizable herbicide treatment, this was down from 56 points with turions (46.67% coverage) in 2021 (Table 8).

The overall mean density within the study areas was 28.70 turions/  $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 67.29 turions/  $m^2$ . This was a non-significant decline (p=0.40) from 2021 when we found a mean of 30.14 turions/ $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 46.57 turions/ $m^2$ . It was also down from 2020 when we found a mean of 36.78 turions/ $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 63.11 turions/ $m^2$ . Broken out by area, our results found significant and moderately significant declines in each of the treated areas (Bed 13 (p<0.05) and Bed 14B/C (p=0.008)); but a significant increase (p=0.02) in Bed 12.

The overall mean suggests the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues in 2022, and just four points (3.33%) were predicted to exceed the "nuisance" threshold. This was up from 2021 when we didn't find any points that exceeded this threshold. Visual analysis of the map showed the center of the north bay (Bed 13) and the nearshore areas of Bed 12 are both likely to produce at least low levels of impairment. Elsewhere, the survey predicted very low to no impairment with the majority of points being almost completely free of CLP. This was especially true in the southeast bay (Bed 14) where CLP continues to be uncommon – potentially in response to the continued dominance of beds of Northern water-milfoil in this area.




Figure 14: 2022 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 8: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 23, 2021 and October 20 and 23, 2022

2021 2022 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 14B/C 12 13 12 13 14 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 65 18 65 120 18 30 30 120 Total live turions 168 31 96 39 79 67 3 11 160 33 13 Total number of points with live turions 56 8 42 14 19 44.44 | 50.77 28.57 43.33 35.00 77.78 29.23 14.29 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 46.67 26.67 Points at or above nuisance level  $(+200/m^2)$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 % Nuisance level 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.33 11.11 3.08 0.00 0.00 Maximum turions/m<sup>2</sup> 172 172 172 22 172 474 474 301 65 43 Mean turions/m<sup>2</sup> 30.14 37.08 | 31.79 6.15 27.99 28.70 94.48 22.19 9.23 7.89 Standard deviation/m<sup>2</sup> 24.41 46.57 59.90 | 44.23 10.50 47.69 67.29 124.25 51.62 14.40 Standard error of the paired difference 1.13 0.26 0.26 0.34 0.37 Degrees of freedom 17 64 29 119 2.36 0.42 -2.54 t-statistic -0.26 -1.68 0.40 0.02 < 0.05 0.34 0.008 p - value

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

On October 15, 2023, we found live CLP turions at 41 or 120 points (34.17% coverage) (Figure 15) (Appendix II). This was down from 42 points with turions (35.00% coverage) in 2022 (Table 9). Considering no herbicide treatment occurred in 2023, this was an unexpected surprise, but makes sense in light of our anecdotal observation that the late ice out and rapid warm up in the spring of 2023 caused CLP to germinate, but then stunt and fall over – often without setting any turions at all (pers. obs. during June follow-up survey).

The overall mean density within the study areas was 19.91 turions/  $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 41.32 turions/  $m^2$ . This was **a significant decline** (p=**0.03**) from 2022 when we found a mean of 28.70 turions/ $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 67.29 turions/ $m^2$ . It was also down from 2021 when we found a mean of 30.14 turions/ $m^2$  with a standard deviation of 46.57 turions/ $m^2$ . Broken out by area, our results found a significant decline in Bed 12 (p=**0.04**) and non-significant declines in Bed 13 (p=0.14) and Bed 14 (p=0.18). Conversely, Bed 14B/C, which was treated in 2022, saw a non-significant increase in mean density (p=0.27).

The overall mean suggests the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues in 2024 and no areas were predicted to exceed the "nuisance" threshold. Visual analysis of the map showed the center of the north bay (Bed 13), and the nearshore areas of Bed 12 are both likely to produce at least low levels of impairment. Elsewhere, the survey predicted very low to no impairment with the majority of points being almost completely free of CLP. This was especially true in the southeast bay (Bed 14) where, for the first time ever, we found no turions at any point.




Figure 15: 2023 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 9: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake - Polk County, Wisconsin October 20 and 23, 2022 and October 15, 2023

2022 2023 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 14B/C 12 13 14 12 13 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 18 7 18 120 65 30 65 30 120 79 3 Total live turions 160 11 49 47 0 15 67 111 22 Total number of points with live turions 42 14 19 41 11 77.78 29.23 14.29 26.67 34.17 61.11 33.85 0.00 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 35.00 26.67 Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m<sup>2</sup>) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 % Nuisance level 3.33 11.11 3.08 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Maximum turions/m<sup>2</sup> 474 301 65 43 194 194 194 151 474 0 Mean turions/m<sup>2</sup> 28.70 94.48 22.19 9.23 7.89 19.91 58.60 15.57 0.00 10.76 Standard deviation/m<sup>2</sup> 33.29 67.29 124.25 | 51.62 24.41 14.40 41.32 66.38 0.00 28.69 Standard error of the paired difference 0.28 0.43 0.22 0.21 0.86 Degrees of freedom 119 17 64 29 -1.92 -1.93 -1.09 -1.00 0.61 t-statistic 0.03 0.04 0.27 0.14 0.18 p - value

Significant differences = \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001

#### **CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE MANAGMENT:**

Low turion levels in the majority of the 2023 survey areas likely means there will be minimal need for management in most of East Balsam in 2024. The only exceptions to this are Bed 12 and possibly the nearshore area on the north end of Bed 13 (Figure 16). In these areas, some form of active management will likely be required to maintain or lower current CLP turions levels. Ultimately, the amount of CLP growth the BLPRD is comfortable with will determine how much, if any, management occurs in East Balsam in 2024.






Figure 16: Total Live Turions Found – Fall 2014-2023

#### L ITERATURE CITED

- Capers, R.S., G.J. Bugbee, R. Selsky and J.C. White. 2005. A guide to invasive aquatic plants in Connecticut. Conn. Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. 997.
- Clemens, C. [online]. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management Plan Balsam Lake Polk County, WI. Sponsored by Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District Prepared by Harmony Environmental October 2010. Available from <a href="http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPMfinal101810.pdf">http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPMfinal101810.pdf</a> (2023, November).
- Clemens, C. [online]. 2015. Aquatic Plant Management Plan Balsam Lake Polk County, WI. Sponsored by Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District Prepared by Harmony Environmental September 2015. Available from <a href="http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPM2015FINAL.pdf">http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPM2015FINAL.pdf</a> (2023, November).
- Hopke, R., E. Nelson, and E. Eaton [online]. 1964. Balsam Lake Maps. Available from <a href="https://apps.dnr.wi.gov/doclink/lakes\_maps/2620600a.pdf">https://apps.dnr.wi.gov/doclink/lakes\_maps/2620600a.pdf</a> (2023, November).
- Johnson, J.A., A.R. Jones & R.M. Newman (2012): Evaluation of lakewide, early season herbicide treatments for controlling invasive curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) in Minnesota lakes, Lake and Reservoir Management, 28:4, 346-363
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management in Wisconsin. Available from <a href="http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Pages/ecology/aquaticplants/default.aspx">http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Pages/ecology/aquaticplants/default.aspx</a> (2023, November).
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Pre/Post Herbicide Comparison. Available from <a href="http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Documents/ecology/Aquatic%20Plants/Appendix-D.pdf">http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Documents/ecology/Aquatic%20Plants/Appendix-D.pdf</a> (2023, November).
- WDNR. [online]. 2023. Balsam Lake Citizen Monitoring Water Quality Database. Available from <a href="https://apps.dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/LakeDetail.aspx?wbic=2620600&page=waterquality">https://apps.dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/LakeDetail.aspx?wbic=2620600&page=waterquality</a> (2023, November).
  - WDNR. [online]. 2023. Wisconsin Lakes Information Balsam Lake Polk County. https://apps.dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/LakeDetail.aspx?wbic=2620600 (2023)

Appendix I	: Survey Samp	le Points and 1	Historic CLP	Treatment Areas





Appendix II: 2014-2023 Fall Curly-leaf Pondweed Turion Density & Distribution Maps

