Curly-leaf Pondweed (Potamogeton crispus) Fall Turion Survey

East Balsam Lake - WBIC: 2620600 Polk County, Wisconsin



Thin ice in the south-central bay – East Balsam (11/14/20)

2020 Fall Turion Density - East Balsam

Project Initiated by:

Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources – Grant ACEI21218





Sieve with turions (Berg 2013)

Survey Conducted by and Report Prepared by:

Endangered Resource Services, LLC Matthew S. Berg, Research Biologist St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin November 14, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	Page ii
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND AND STUDY RATIONALE	1
CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES	2
METHODS	3
DATA ANALYSIS	5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	6
2014 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	6
2015 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	7
2016 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	9
2017 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	11
2018 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	13
2019 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	15
2020 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey	17
Considerations for Future Management	19
LITERATURE CITED	20
APPENDIXES	21
I: Survey Sample Points and Historic CLP Treatment Areas	21
II: 2014-2020 Fall Curly-leaf Pondweed Turion Density & Distribution Maps	24

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	Page
Figure 1: Balsam Lake with Final 2020 CLP Treatment Areas	1
Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion.	2
Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historical Treatment Areas	3
Figure 4: East Balsam Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving	4
Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density	5
Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	6
Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	7
Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015	8
Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	9
Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 29-30, 2016	10
Figure 9: 2017 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	11
Table 3: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 29-30, 2016 and October 28-29, 2017	12
Figure 10: 2018 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	13
Table 4: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 28-29, 2017 and October 26-27, 2018	14
Figure 11: 2019 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	15
Table 5: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 26-27, 2018 and October 26-27, 2019	16
Figure 12: 2020 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution	17
Table 6: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 26-27, 2019 and November 14, 2020.	18
Figure 13: Total Live Turions Found – Fall 2014-2020	19

INTRODUCTION:

Balsam Lake (WBIC 2620600) is a 2,054 acre stratified drainage lake in central Polk County, Wisconsin in the Towns of Balsam Lake, Milltown, Georgetown, and Apple River (T34N R17W). It reaches a maximum depth of 37ft north of Cedar Island in the western basin and has an average depth of 20ft (Hopke et al. 1964). The lake is mesotrophic bordering on eutrophic in nature, and water clarity is fair with historical summer Secchi readings averaging 5ft in East Balsam, 6ft in Little Balsam, and 8ft in the deep hole north of Cedar Island (WDNR 2020). Bottom substrate is variable with organic muck in most bays, and rock/sand in the Big and Little Narrows and around the lake's many islands (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Balsam Lake with Final 2020 CLP Treatment Areas

BACKGROUND AND STUDY RATIONALE:

Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) (CLP) is an invasive exotic plant that is common to abundant in parts of Balsam Lake. In their 2010 and 2015 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) approved Aquatic Plant Management Plans (APMP), the Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District's (BLPRD) identified a) reducing overall lake coverage of CLP to <20 acres and b) relieving navigation impairment caused by canopied CLP beds as management goals (Clemens 2010, Clemens 2015). As part of their continuing efforts to meet these goals, from May 2014-2020, the BLPRD and the WDNR authorized the herbicide treatment of up to five CLP beds in East Balsam Lake. These areas were selected based on the 2013 spring CLP bed mapping survey that found they were the largest areas of CLP on the lake, and because they were interfering with boat traffic and/or restricting resident access to the lake from their docks.

The chemical treatments from 2014-2017 significantly reduced CLP levels and residual turions in East Balsam. Following late ice-out and rapid increases in water temperatures in 2018 and near record snowfall in 2019, we documented low CLP levels in our pretreatment surveys. Because of this, it was decided to cancel the entire chemical treatment during each of these years and focus on harvesting. After the fall 2019 turion survey showed a significant increase in turions over the previous two years and the 2020 pretreatment survey predicted many areas would have significant growth, the BLPRD decided to treat 15.60 acres (0.76% of the lake's total surface area) in the spring of 2020 (Figure 1).

CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES:

Although Curly-leaf pondweed occasionally reproduces by seed, the vast majority of plants resprout from stiff overwintering buds called turions that are normally produced in number by the plants prior to their late June/early July senescence (Figure 2). After the pinecone-like turions germinate in late fall or early winter, plants continue to grow slowly under the ice. Following ice out, growth accelerates, and plants rapidly canopy allowing them a competitive advantage over slower growing native species (Capers 2005).



Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion

Research suggests approximately 50% of turions germinate in a growing season while the rest remain dormant until the following growing season when another 50% will germinate (Johnson 2012). Depending on the level of turions at a given location, and knowing that latent turions may be able to survive for over 5 years in the sediment, it may take several years of control to exhaust the "turion bank" (R. Newman – U of M unpublished data).

Following the 2020 summer growing season, we conducted a fall turion survey. The goals of the study were to determine the level of remaining CLP turions within the lake's historic high density CLP areas; and, if there were any present, to predict whether their numbers suggested there would likely be enough to cause navigation issues in 2021. This report is the summary analysis of that survey conducted on November 14, 2020.

METHODS:

Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

After merging the 2014 treatment areas and the 2009 treatment of Bed 14C into a single shapefile, we used Hawth's Analysis Tools Extension to ArcGIS 9.3.1 to generate regular points at the rate of approximately 1.7 points/acre. This produced a 120 point sampling grid of which 18 were in Bed 12, 65 were in Bed 13, seven were in Bed 14, and the remaining 30 were in the combined area of Beds 14B and 14C. This same sampling grid was also used during each survey from 2015 to 2020 to allow for the most accurate comparisons possible (Figure 3) (Appendix I). For ease in determining the total impact of the current treatment program, we also left the 2014 – 2019 narratives in the results section of this report.




Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historical Treatment Areas

During the survey, we located each point with a handheld mapping GPS unit (Garmin 76CSx) and used a Petite Ponar dredge with a $0.0232m^2$ ($36in^2$) sample area to take a bottom sediment grab from each side of the boat at each location. These samples were then rinsed in a fine sieve to separate out the sediment (Figure 4). Samples with high numbers of turions or significant amounts of detritus were bagged for later analysis; at which time we discarded all rotten turions, tallied all live turions, and multiplied the combined total live turions from the two samples by 21.53 to estimate turions/m² at each location. This value gives an idea of how many CLP plants will germinate in an area during the following growing season.



Figure 4: East Balsam Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving

DATA ANALYSIS:

We entered all data collected into an Excel spreadsheet and used standard formulas in the data analysis tool pack to calculate the following:

<u>Total number of points sampled:</u> This value is the total number of points on the lake within each study area. We took two Ponar samples at each sample point.

Total number of live turions: This value includes all live turions found at all sites within a study area.

<u>Total number of points with live turions:</u> This number includes all survey sites that had at least one turion in **either** of the Ponar samples taken at the site.

Frequency of occurrence: The frequency of turions is generally reported as a percentage of occurrences at all sample points. The value is used to extrapolate coverage within the study area. For example, if 20% of all sample sites have turions, it suggests that 20% of the study area will have at least some Curly-leaf pondweed coverage the following year.

Points at or above nuisance level: This value gives the number of survey sites within the study area that were above the predicted nuisance threshold (Figure 5). Research suggests that when the turion density is at or above 200/m², the following year's CLP growth has the potential to at least moderately impair navigation (Johnson 2012).




Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density

Percent nuisance level: The percentage of nuisance points divided by the total number of survey points can be extrapolated to determine what percent of the study area has the potential to have at least moderate navigation impairment during the next growing season.

<u>Mean turions/m²</u>: This value is the average number of turions/m² when pooling the data from all survey sites regardless of whether or not they had turions present.

Standard deviation of turions/m²: This value tells us how far apart the data is from the mean. A low standard deviation suggests most points have a turion density that was similar to the mean, while a high value suggests there was greater variability in turion density within the sample area.

Year-over-Year Significant Differences:

Data from the 2014-2020 surveys was compared using paired t-tests as we returned to the same sites during each survey. Year-over-year differences were determined to be significant at p<0.05, moderately significant at p<0.01, and highly significant at p<0.001 (Tables 1-6).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

2014 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey:

The November 8-9, 2014 survey revealed CLP turions were present throughout much of the 2014 treatment areas with 92 of 120 points having live turions (76.67%) (Figure 6) (Appendix II). Despite this, only six points (5.00%) suggested CLP growth in 2015 had the potential to exceed the nuisance threshold with densities >200 turions/m² (Table 1). When broken down by area, Bed 12 had the highest rate with over 22% of the bed projected to exceed this level. Bed 13 was the only other area with any nuisance points, and both of them were located at the very northern edge of the bed. All of the nuisance points were in areas with shallow water (<5ft) that historically have also had dense canopied CLP.

The overall mean turion density was 61.53 turions/m². This value suggested that the average potential for impairment would be very low. Turion densities were somewhat variable with all standard deviations values being greater than the mean. However, only Bed 12 was more than 25% higher than the mean, and none were double the mean.




Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

During the October 31- November 1, 2015 survey, we found live CLP turions at 67 of 120 points (55.83%) (Figure 7) (Appendix II). This was a decline in distribution of 27.17% from the 92 points with turions in 2014. When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline in distribution except for Bed 14B/C which increased from 56.67% coverage in 2014 to 60.00% coverage in 2015 (Table 1).

The number of high density "predictive nuisance" locations also declined fractionally from six points (5.00%) in 2014 to five points (4.17%) in 2015. As in 2014, Bed 12 had the highest percentage of high density points (11.11%). Outside this area, no other bed had more that 3.33% (Bed 14B/C). The majority of the highest density turion points again occurred in areas with shallow water (<5ft) that historically have had dense canopied CLP growth in the spring as well as moderate levels later in the summer. These areas may be producing a "second crop" of plants that sprout from latent turions after the treatment and, consequently, are able to produce turions/maintain the bank at these locations.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 44.13 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m². This was a nearly significant decline from 2014 (p=0.057) when we found a mean of 61.53turions/m² with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m². Visual analysis of the 2014 and 2015 maps suggested the turion bank has been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft while shallow areas continue to have regular turions present. Densities continued to be variable with all standard deviations values being greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 14 (southeast bay) which showed a slight increase, all other areas declined; although none of these changes were significant.




Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015

2014 2015

G Gt-4:-4:	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics:		12	13	14	14B/C		12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	343	127	142	7	67	246	69	111	10	56
Total number of points with live turions	92	17	54	4	17	67	14	32	3	18
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	76.67	94.44	83.08	57.14	56.67	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m ²)	6	4	2	0	0	5	2	2	0	1
% nuisance level	5.00	22.22	3.08	0.00	0.00	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33
Maximum turions/m ²	1,012	1,012	388	65	194	431	409	431	172	258
Mean turions/m ²	61.53	151.89	47.03	21.53	48.08	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19
Standard deviation/m ²	114.47	249.58	58.70	24.86	58.39	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02
Standard error of the paired difference						0.51	2.70	0.47	1.23	0.58
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-1.59	-1.19	-1.01	0.34	-0.63
p - value						0.057	0.12	0.15	0.37	0.27

Significant differences = *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

During the October 29-30, 2016 survey, we found live CLP turions at 45 of 120 points (37.50%) (Figure 8) (Appendix II). This 32.84% decline in distribution from the 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015 and a further decline from the 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%) suggests the current treatment program has significantly reduced the "turion bank". When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline except for Bed 12 which remained at 77.78%. No other bed had coverage higher than 33.33% (Table 2).

The total number of high density "predictive nuisance" locations ticked back up to six points (5.00%) from five points in 2015 (4.17%) with all but one occurring in Bed 12. Although Bed 12 has always had the largest percentage of high density points (27.78% of the bed in 2016), the nearly significant increase in density (82.52/m² in 2015 to 145.91/m² in 2016 (p=0.057), and the more than doubling of the number of high density points from two (11.11% of bed) in 2015 to five in 2016 was unexpected based on the successful treatments in this area from 2014-2016.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 35.70 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 85.86 turions/m². This was a further decline (p=0.17) from the 44.13 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m² in 2015, and the 61.53turions/m² with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m² in 2014. Visual analysis of the 2016 map continues to show that the turion bank appears to have been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft, and many shallow areas now have only scattered turions present. Densities continue to be variable with all beds having standard deviations values that were greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 12 (southwest bay), all other area mean densities declined with Bed 14B/C showing a moderately significant decline (p=0.002), and Bed 13 (north bay) a nearly significant decline (p=0.06).




Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 29-30, 2016

2015 2016

Summary Statistics:	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics.	Total	12	13	14	14B/C		12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	246	69	111	10	56	199	122	61	2	14
Total number of points with live turions	67	14	32	3	18	45	14	19	2	10
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00	37.50	77.78	29.23	28.57	33.33
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m ²)	5	2	2	0	1	6	5	1	0	0
% nuisance level	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33	5.00	27.78	1.54	0.00	0.00
Maximum turions/m ²	431	409	431	172	258	560	560	323	22	65
Mean turions/m ²	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19	35.70	145.91	20.20	6.15	10.05
Standard deviation/m ²	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02	85.86	157.26	54.21	10.50	16.71
Standard error of the paired difference						0.41	1.77	0.50	1.16	0.46
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-0.95	1.66	-1.54	-0.98	-3.07
p - value						0.17	0.057	0.06	0.18	**0.002

Significant differences = *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

During the October 28-29, 2017 survey, we found live CLP turions at 59 of 120 points (49.17%) (Figure 9) (Appendix II). This 31.11% increase from 45 points with turions (37.50%) in 2016 was the first expansion in distribution since treatment began. However, it was still below the 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015 and 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%). When broken down by area, only Bed 12 (northeast of the Big Narrows) showed a decline in points with turions (Table 3).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at $23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$ with a standard deviation of $33.33 \text{ turions/m}^2$. This was a nearly significant decline (p=0.06) from $35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2$ with a standard deviation of $85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2$ in 2016. It was also a further decline (p=0.17) from the $44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2$ with a standard deviation of $75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2$ in 2015, and the $61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2$ with a standard deviation of $114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2$ in 2014. This means that, despite the uptick in distribution, the mean density was the lowest it has been since treatment started. We also noted that, for the first time ever, there were no high density "predictive nuisance" points in any of the beds.

Visual analysis of the 2017 map showed that most of the expansion in distribution occurred in deep water areas that were not treated in 2017 because they did not have any turions in fall 2016 and few CLP plants during the 2017 pretreatment survey. In the past, when these low density areas were trimmed from the treatment plan, they still appeared to have experienced residual control; however; that doesn't seem to have been the case in 2017. This may be because, for whatever reason, the 2017 treatment wasn't as effectively as in years past when plants immediately and completely disintegrated. Rather, CLP was only severely burned by the herbicide, and, based on several follow-up visits we did in June, took up to five weeks posttreatment to fall over/decompose. Fortunately, none of these "slow dying" CLP plants were ever found to have produced turions.




Figure 9: 2017 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 3: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 29-30, 2016 and October 28-29, 2017

2016 2017 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 12 14B/C 12 13 14 13 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 120 18 18 65 30 30 120 65 58 Total live turions 199 122 26 35 61 14 130 11 15 Total number of points with live turions 45 10 59 10 30 14 19 77.78 29.23 28.57 33.33 55.56 46.15 57.14 50.00 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 37.50 49.17 Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m²) 5 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 5.00 27.78 1.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 % nuisance level Maximum turions/m² 560 560 323 22 65 194 108 108 129 194 145.91 Mean turions/m² 20.20 6.15 23.32 35.70 10.05 31.10 19.21 33.83 25.12 157.26 33.33 27.21 46.27 Standard deviation/m² 85.86 54.21 10.50 16.71 34.02 41.19 Standard error of the paired difference 0.34 0.89 0.34 0.37 1.70 Degrees of freedom 119 17 64 29 -3.13 t-statistic -1.53 -0.13 +1.44+2.080.06 **0.003 0.44 0.10 p - value *0.02

Significant differences = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

The October 26-27, 2018 survey found live CLP turions at just 36 of 120 points (30.0%) (Figure 10) (Appendix II). This was a 38.98% **decrease** in coverage from the 59 points (49.17%) we found turions at in 2017, and it represented the fewest points with turions since surveying began (45 points with turions (37.50%) in 2016; 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015; and 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%)). When broken down by area, every bed experienced a decline in turion distribution (Table 4).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at $28.52 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$ very high standard deviation of $138.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$. This was a non-sign significant increase (p=0.33) from $23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a standard deviation of } 33.33 \text{turions/m}^2 \text{ in } 2017$, but it was still below all other previous years ($35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD } 85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in } 2016$; $44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD } 75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in } 2015$; and $61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD } 114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in } 2014$). This means that, despite the decline in distribution, the average density jumped; however, this was almost entirely due to the high turion counts at a couple of points as evidenced by the standard deviation which was nearly four times the mean. These two points (one in Bed 13 and one in Bed 14) were also the only high density "predictive nuisance" points.

Visual analysis of the 2018 map showed that most turions were either found in very shallow water in areas that have historically produced two "crops" of plants with the second occurring after herbicide/mechanical harvesting, or they were in deep areas that were not treated in 2017 and likely beyond the reach of the harvester in 2018. This is likely the cause of the localized jump at two points in Bed 14 as our June follow-up survey found CLP in this area only grew a few feet before falling over and dying.




Figure 10: 2018 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 4: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 28-29, 2017 and October 26-27, 2018

2017 2018 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 12 14B/C 12 13 13 14 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 18 65 18 65 30 120 120 30 75 Total live turions 26 58 11 35 24 42 18 130 159 Total number of points with live turions 10 30 15 59 36 20 49.17 55.56 46.15 57.14 50.00 27.78 30.77 42.86 26.67 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 30.00 Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m²) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.67 0.00 1.54 14.29 0.00 % nuisance level 108 172 Maximum turions/m² 194 108 129 194 1,464 301 151 1.464 Mean turions/m² 19.21 33.83 28.52 23.32 31.10 25.12 28.70 13.91 230.66 12.92 33.33 46.27 138.32 545.85 30.76 Standard deviation/m² 34.02 27.21 41.19 55.75 39.81 Standard error of the paired difference 0.57 0.56 0.28 8.84 0.36 Degrees of freedom 119 17 64 29 t-statistic +0.43-0.20 -0.87 +1.03-1.56 0.33 0.42 0.19 0.17 0.07 p - value

Significant differences = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

On October 26-27, 2019, we found live CLP turions at 60 of 120 points (50.0%) (Figure 11) (Appendix II). This was a 66.67% **increase** in coverage from the 36 points (30.00%) with turions in 2018, and it was almost identical to the 59 points (49.17%) with turions in 2017. Although it was also above 2016 distribution levels (45 points/37.50% coverage), it was still below peak numbers in 2015 (67 points/55.83% coverage) and 2014 (92 points/76.67% coverage). When broken down by area, every bed experienced an increase in turion distribution (Table 5).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at $39.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$ standard deviation of $66.42 \text{ turions/m}^2$. This was a non-sign significant increase (p=0.42) from 2018 when we found a mean of $28.52 \text{ turions/m}^2$ and a very high standard deviation of $138.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$. However, when compared to $2017 (23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 33.33 \text{turions/m}^2)$ it suggested there has been a moderately significant increase (p=0.003) since the cessation of chemical control. Similar to the changes noted with distribution, the density in 2019 was also above the 2016 mean density ($35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2$), but below the peak years of 2015 ($44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2$) and 2014 ($61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD} 114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2$ in 2014).

Although the overall mean still predicts that the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues, there were seven points (5.83%) that had enough turions to suggest there would be at least moderate impairment. This was an increase from 2018 when only two points (1.67%) exceeded the "nuisance" threshold. Visual analysis of the 2018 and 2019 maps showed continuous, but low level expansion in the deep areas of the north bay (Bed 13). However, there were significant increases in the shallow mucky areas of Beds 12 and 14B. In the southeast bay (Bed 14), after having a sample with 68 turions during the 2018 survey, mean density declined sharply, but distribution ticked up.




Figure 11: 2019 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 5: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 26-27, 2018 and October 26-27, 2019

2010

2010

	2018						2019			
C		Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed	Total	Bed	Bed	Bed	Bed
Summary Statistics:	Total	12	13	14	14B/C	Total	12	13	14	14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	159	24	42	75	18	220	73	69	11	67
Total number of points with live turions	36	5	20	3	8	60	13	25	4	18
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	30.00	27.78	30.77	42.86	26.67	50.00	72.22	38.46	57.14	60.00
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m ²)	2	0	1	1	0	7	5	1	0	1
% nuisance level	1.67	0.00	1.54	14.29	0.00	5.83	27.78	1.54	0.00	3.33
Maximum turions/m ²	1,464	172	301	1,464	151	366	258	215	129	366
Mean turions/m ²	28.52	28.70	13.91	230.66	12.92	39.47	87.31	22.85	33.83	48.08
Standard deviation/m ²	138.32	55.75	39.81	545.85	30.76	66.42	98.37	43.54	47.91	75.15
Standard error of the paired difference						0.63	0.71	0.31	0.98	0.59
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						+0.80	+3.84	+1.35	-0.93	+2.77
p - value						0.21	***<0.001	0.09	0.20	**0.005

Significant differences = *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

During our November 14, 2020 survey, we found live CLP turions at 61 of 120 points (50.83% coverage) (Figure 12) (Appendix II). This was almost identical to 2019 when there were 60 points (50.00%) with turions, but still up sharply from 36 points (30.00%) with turions in 2018. It was also almost identical to the 59 points (49.17%) with turions in 2017, but well above 2016 distribution levels (45 points/37.50% coverage). Despite not showing the hoped for decline following the 2020 treatment, it was still below peak numbers in 2015 (67 points/55.83% coverage) and 2014 (92 points/76.67% coverage) (Table 6).

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at $36.78 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$ standard deviation of $63.11 \text{ turions/m}^2$. This was a non-sign significant decline (p=0.40) from 2019 when we found a mean of $39.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ with a}$ standard deviation of $66.42 \text{ turions/m}^2$. However, it was still greater than the 2018 mean of $28.52 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$ $138.32 \text{ turions/m}^2$; the 2017 mean of $23.32 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$ $33.33 \text{ turions/m}^2$; and the 2016 mean of $35.70 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$ $85.86 \text{ turions/m}^2$. Similar to total distribution, the 2020 mean density was also still below the peak years of 2015 ($44.13 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$ $75.04 \text{ turions/m}^2$) and 2014 ($61.53 \text{ turions/m}^2 - \text{SD}$ $114.47 \text{ turions/m}^2 \text{ in 2014}$). Broken out by area, our results found declines in mean density in Beds 12, 14, and 14 B/C; however, only the decline in 14 B/C was significant (p=0.01). Bed 13 actually showed a significant increase (p=0.01) – potentially due to the treatment covering only 2.0 acres in this area.

The overall mean suggests the majority of locations in East Balsam will have no navigational issues. However, there were three points (2.50%) that had enough turions to suggest there would be at least moderate impairment. This was a decline from seven points in 2019 (5.83%) that exceeded the "nuisance" threshold. Visual analysis of the 2018 -2020 maps showed continuous, but low level expansion in the center of the north bay (Bed 13) – especially near shore. Shallow mucky areas of Bed 12 also appear likely to have at least low impairment, but most of 14B/C should be relatively free of CLP. In the southeast bay (Bed 14), levels declined even without treatment – potentially in response to the expansion of high density beds of Northern water-milfoil in this area.




Figure 12: 2020 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

Table 6: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics East Balsam Lake, Polk County October 26-27, 2019 and November 14, 2020

2019 2020 Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed Bed **Summary Statistics:** Total Total 14B/C 12 13 12 13 14 14 14B/C Total number of points sampled 120 18 65 18 65 30 30 120 Total live turions 220 73 69 11 67 54 127 4 20 205 Total number of points with live turions 13 25 18 13 35 60 61 10 4 72.22 38.46 72.22 53.85 42.86 33.33 Frequency of occurrence (in percent) 50.00 57.14 60.00 50.83 Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m²) 0 0 5 3 0 27.78 5.83 1.54 0.00 3.33 2.50 5.56 3.08 0.00 0.00 % nuisance level 258 215 Maximum turions/m² 366 129 366 409 409 323 43 108 Mean turions/m² 22.85 33.83 48.08 42.06 39.47 87.31 36.78 64.58 12.30 14.35 98.37 43.54 63.50 26.72 Standard deviation/m² 66.42 47.91 75.15 63.11 96.56 16.94 0.67Standard error of the paired difference 0.37 0.98 0.32 0.91 Degrees of freedom 119 17 64 29 6 +2.38t-statistic -0.40 -1.16 -1.02 -2.32 0.40 0.13 0.17 *0.01 *0.01 p - value

Significant differences = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE MANAGMENT:

Following a two year pause without chemical control (2018-2019) that saw turion numbers ticked up in all areas of East Balsam relative to the last posttreatment survey in 2017, the 2020 fall posttreatment survey found declines in all areas except Bed 13 where only a small area was treated (Figure 13). Although most CLP densities are predicted to have no or low navigation impairment, the north end of Bed 12 (bay northeast of the Big Narrows) and the central nearshore area of Bed 13 (north bay) will likely require some form of active management to maintain or lower current moderate to high CLP turions levels. Ultimately, the amount of CLP growth the BLPRD is comfortable with will determine how much, if any, management occurs in East Balsam in 2021.






Figure 13: Total Live Turions Found – Fall 2014-2020

LITERATURE CITED

- Capers, R.S., G.J. Bugbee, R. Selsky and J.C. White. 2005. A guide to invasive aquatic plants in Connecticut. Conn. Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. 997.
- Clemens, C. [online]. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management Plan Balsam Lake Polk County, WI. Sponsored by Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District Prepared by Harmony Environmental October 2010. Available from http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPMfinal101810.pdf (2020, November).
- Clemens, C. [online]. 2015. Aquatic Plant Management Plan Balsam Lake Polk County, WI. Sponsored by Balsam Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District Prepared by Harmony Environmental September 2015. Available from http://www.blprd.com/docs/BalsamLakeAPM2015FINAL.pdf (2020, November).
- Hopke, R., E. Nelson, and E. Eaton [online]. 1964. Balsam Lake Maps. Available from http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/maps/DNR/2620600a.pdf (2020, November).
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management in Wisconsin. Available from http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Pages/ecology/aquaticplants/default.aspx (2020, November).
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Pre/Post Herbicide Comparison. Available from http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Documents/ecology/Aquatic%20Plants/Appendix-D.pdf (2020, November).
- WDNR. [online]. 2020. Balsam Lake Citizen Monitoring Water Quality Database. Available from http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/LakeDetail.aspx?wbic=2620600&page=waterquality (2020, November).

Appendix I:	Survey Sam	nple Points a	nd Historic (CLP Treatmo	ent Areas





Appendix II: 2014-2020 Fall Curly-leaf Pondweed Turion Density & Distribution Maps

